

Economic Research Service

Agricultural Economic Report Number 683

Factor Intensity and the Changing Commodity Composition of U.S. Agricultural Trade



Factor Intensity and the Changing Commodity Composition of U.S. Agricultural Trade. By Chinkook Lee and Michelle Robinson. Agriculture and Rural Economy Division, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Agricultural Economic Report No. 683.

Abstract

This report uses the 1977 and the recently released 1982 national Input-Output (I/O) accounts of the U.S. economy to examine factor intensities and associated patterns of U.S. agricultural trade. U.S. agricultural exports were found to be more land-intensive than U.S. agricultural imports. However, the commodity composition of these exports tends to change as the income of importing countries changes. Furthermore, the more similar the countries that import from the United States are, in terms of economic factors, and the higher their incomes, the greater the likelihood of intra-industry, or two-way, trade in high-value commodities such as meat products and fruits and vegetables.

Keywords: Input-output, factor intensity, intra-industry trade.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Thomas Hady and Gerald Schluter for editorial assistance, to William Edmondson and Kenneth Hanson for their technical assistance, and to Linda Felton and Pat Saunders for their word processing in preparing this manuscript.

Contents

Summary	iv
Introduction	1
Theoretical Considerations	1
The Commodity Composition of U.S. Agricultural Exports	2
Factor Intensity of U.S. Agricultural Trade	2
Income Elasticities and Agricultural Exports	9
Intra-Industry Agricultural Trade	10
Policy Implications	10
Conclusions	11
References	12
Appendices	12 12 13 13
Tables 1. U.S. agricultural exports, by destination, 1977-90, selected years	. 4
2. Current and constant value of U.S. agricultural exports, 1977-90, selected years	
3. U.S. agricultural exports, 1990: Actual value and commodity composition for \$1 million in exports	. 5
4. Factors used to produce U.S. agricultural exports, by destination, 1977 .	. 6
5. Factors used to produce U.S. agricultural exports, by destination, 1982 .	. 7
6. Factors used to produce U.S. agricultural exports, by destination, 1990 .	. 8
7. U.S. agricultural competitive imports, by type of economy, 1982 and 1990	. 9
8. Factors used to produce U.S. competitive agricultural imports, 1982 and 1990	. 9
9. Grubel-Lloyd measures of intra-industry agricultural trade between the United States and the world, 1982 and 1990	11

Summary

The commodity composition of U.S. agricultural exports has changed since the 1970's. In 1977, grains and oil crops were more than half of U.S. agricultural exports. By 1990, grains and oil crops had fallen to more than a third of U.S. agricultural exports, and high-value products, such as meat and other processed products, had doubled their 1977 export volume to total about a quarter of the exported agricultural commodities. The commodity composition of U.S. agricultural exports has changed because production technology for U.S. high-value commodities has become highly mechanized, which allows greater use of the United States' abundant farmland and requires little use of labor. These factors combine to make U.S. agricultural exports highly competitive with those from other nations.

This report examines the amount of U.S. land, labor, and capital devoted to the production of agricultural exports and the associated patterns of U.S. agricultural trade. The composition of U.S. exports reflects the purchasing patterns of different nations. Over time, these patterns change as nations alter their purchases in response to changes in income, relative prices, and domestic shortages. Perhaps the most important of these factors are changes in income that result from the development process. As a nation develops, its agricultural imports tend to shift away from food grains and industrial raw materials toward high-value commodities, such as meat products and fruits and vegetables.

As developing nations earn higher incomes and become increasingly self-sufficient in food production, the composition of U.S. agricultural exports will likely shift toward high-value products, such as meats, fruits and vegetables, and other processed foods. However, products importing countries use to produce high-value commodities, for example, feed grains and oil crops (used to produce livestock), will likely also remain a major share of U.S. agricultural exports. As the commodity composition of agricultural exports changes, the use of land and labor for producing agricultural exports will also change, because different commodities have different direct and economywide land and labor requirements.

Factor Intensity and the Changing Commodity Composition of U.S. Agricultural Trade

Chinkook Lee Michelle Robinson

Introduction

Foreign trade provides a nation with an alternative market for goods and services from its abundant factors of production. Agricultural trade already plays an important role in the U.S. economy. Net U.S. agricultural exports have been positive since 1959 and, to some degree, have offset the trade deficit for nonagricultural products. Each million dollars of agricultural exports require (directly and indirectly) significant amounts of land, labor, and capital. The amount of U.S. land, labor, and capital devoted to the production of agricultural exports has varied during the 1980's. This is the result of the fluctuating commodity composition of U.S. trade patterns in response to changes in importing countries' income levels or development patterns.

This paper has three objectives. First, we use the well-known trade theory of relative factor endowments to examine factor intensities and associated patterns of U.S. agricultural trade. To do so, we use the 1977 and the recently released 1982 national Input-Output (I/O) accounts of the U.S. economy to create an I/O model for estimating the land and labor used to produce U.S. agricultural exports and imports in 1977, 1982, and 1990. An I/O model traces the production flows required to produce output for purchase by consumers, government, businesses, and foreign buyers. The usefulness of such a model lies in its ability to account for the production of goods and services generated both directly, in the sector producing the output, and indirectly, through additional business activity generated to meet the final demands of buyers.

Second, we examine how changes in the income levels of purchasing countries influence the commodity composition of U.S. agricultural exports. To do so, we examine U.S. agricultural trade with selected coun-

tries that are either developing, middle income, or developed. Finally, we explore the implications of intra- versus inter-industry trade.

Theoretical Considerations

The Heckscher-Ohlin (H-O) theory of international trade identifies the difference in relative factor endowments among nations as the basic cause or determinant of comparative advantage and, therefore, international trade. This dominant theory of trade is quite straightforward. Under the H-O theory, a nation will export the commodities that require the intensive use of its relatively abundant and cheap factor, and import the commodities that require the intensive use of its relatively scarce and more expensive factor.

An empirical test of the H-O theory by Leontief, who used the Input-Output tables of the U.S. Economy, revealed that, despite relative capital abundance and labor scarcity, the capital-to-labor ratio of U.S. imports was greater than that of U.S. exports. This phenomenon has been called the Leontief Paradox. This study finds that U.S. agricultural trade is consistent with the H-O theory. The Leontief Paradox does not occur in present-day agricultural trade.

By itself, however, the dominant theory of international trade cannot explain intra-industry, or two-way, trade. Why do countries with similar factor endowments engage in trade? Why does a nation both import and export a certain type of commodity? Yet, these trade patterns exist and represent major portions of trade flows.

Further developments in trade theory have attempted to address these issues. For example, the role of demand hypothesis notes that nations with similar per capita incomes consume similar bundles of goods. Formal models by Krugman [5]¹ and others try to derive this trade pattern as a result of scale economies, imperfect competition, and product differentiation. Markusen [7] has combined several of these interpretations in explaining that the closer the countries are in terms of factor endowment and the higher their incomes, the greater the likelihood of intra-industry trade. On this premise, intra-industry trade should occur between developed countries (East-West) while inter-industry trade should occur between North-South countries, the North being more capital abundant and the South more labor abundant. Balassa and Bauwens [1] found that intra-industry trade is positively correlated with income. McCorriston and Sheldon [8] analyzed trade for the United States and the European Community (EC) in processed agricultural products to other developed countries and found that the EC exhibited more intra-industry trade than the United States.

Trade in agricultural goods is primarily in food and feed products. Among agricultural commodities, income elasticities are lowest for roots and tubers, higher for coarse grains (such as corn) for human consumption, and higher still for fruits, vegetables, and animal products. At low-income levels, a country is likely to spend a large share of its income on direct consumption of grains, such as wheat, rice, and corn. A priori, a low-income country would have higher income elasticities for food grains than would higher income nations. In contrast, high-income countries spend a small share of their food budget on direct grain consumption and have low-income elasticities for these goods. Elasticities for meat and animal products are higher (though still less than one), which indirectly causes feed grains and oil crops used for animal feed to have higher income elasticities as well. The net result of these income elasticities is that, over time, the commodity composition of food consumption changes.

Most trade theory explains trade in terms of manufactured goods. Data limitations often preclude a blending of theoretical and empirical tests of the basis for international trade.² Sometimes, where the data exists, the theoretical edges must be rounded to fit the theory to the world revealed in the data. In this paper, we examine data on actual trade, both for generic support of theoretical foundations and the economic foundations of U.S. agricultural trade.

Targeting agricultural trade makes investigating land endowments and land intensity a natural departure from the usual analysis of labor and capital endowments. And because land is more location-specific than labor or capital, spatial considerations complicate the efficient transmission of market signals.

The Commodity Composition of U.S. Agricultural Exports

In 1990, the United States exported \$39.3 billion worth of agricultural products. The commodity composition of agricultural exports has changed significantly since 1977. In 1977, food grains, feed grains, and oil crops made up the bulk of U.S. agricultural exports, accounting for nearly 53 percent of total exports. Feed grains represented the largest share (21 percent). By 1982, these three groups still constituted the majority of agricultural exports, rising slightly to 55 percent of the total. However, oil crops displaced feed grains as the commodity group holding the largest share (19 percent).

By 1990, the picture had changed. High-value products significantly contributed to total agricultural exports, displacing both food grains and oil crops. Feed grains still represented the largest share of exports (18 percent), but meat products and other processed foods represented another 12 percent each.

Japan and Western Europe have continued to be the major consumers of U.S. agricultural commodities, importing 52 percent of total agricultural exports in 1977, 46 percent in 1982, and 39 percent in 1990. Canada more than doubled its share of U.S. exports, from 5 percent in 1982 to 11 percent in 1990. This increase was partially due to a surge in Canadian demand for high-value U.S. products, such as vegetables, fruits, nuts, and other processed foods.

Thus, the changing commodity composition of U.S. agricultural exports reflects the changing import demands of various countries. As countries' income levels continue to increase, agricultural exports from the United States may continue to shift from food grains toward feed crops and high-value products, such as fruits, vegetables, and processed foods.

Factor Intensity of U.S. Agricultural Trade

We define factor intensity as the ratio of factor (land, labor, or capital) use per million dollars of exports in

¹Numbers in brackets refer to sources listed in the References section.

²The MIT Press devoted an entire volume (2) to this point.

current-year prices. Factor intensity does not depend on the total value of exports for a given year or region, but reflects the composition of agricultural exports in each year. Because different commodities require different combinations of labor and land, factor intensity varies as the composition of exports changes. In general, food grains, feed grains, and oil crops have low labor intensities and high land intensities. High-value products, such as fruits, vegetables, and nuts, have higher labor intensities and much lower land intensities. Because of these differences in production requirements, changes in the commodity mix of exports from year to year influence the factor intensity of agricultural exports.

Table 1 shows U.S. agricultural exports to major purchasers by commodity group for 1977, 1982, and 1990. The table is based on trade information [9, 15] and is reproduced here with some revisions and updates for 1990. In general, the value of U.S. agricultural exports increased over these years, with strong gains in vegetables, fruits, and nuts, and meat products (high-value commodities). However, there were substantial drops in food grain and oil crop exports from 1982 to 1990. Exports of vegetables, fruits, and nuts increased from \$975 million in 1977 to more than \$1 billion in 1982 and \$3 billion in 1990. Meanwhile, exports of food grains and oil crops decreased from \$6.7 and \$6.8 billion in 1982 to \$4 and \$3.9 billion in 1990.

Western Europe and Japan have remained major customers for U.S. agricultural exports, with Western Europe obtaining 35 percent in 1977 and 31 percent in 1982, and Japan garnering 16 percent in 1977 and 15 percent in 1982 (table 1). Japan edged out Western Europe's near 19 percent of total U.S. agricultural exports in 1990 with roughly 21 percent. Likewise, Canada increased its 5 percent share in 1982 to 11 percent in 1990. And, as discussed earlier, there has been a clear shift, especially from 1982 to 1990, from food grains and oil crops to high-value commodity groups such as vegetables, fruits, and nuts, meat products, and other processed foods.

Table 2 shows current and constant dollar values and shares of U.S. agricultural exports in 1977, 1982, and 1990. The table reveals that shares of food grains, feed grains, and oil crops decreased in 1990 from 1977 levels, while shares of meat products and other processed foods increased. Although feed grains' share of U.S. agricultural exports has declined over time, feed grains remained the largest commodity group in the mix of agricultural exports in 1990.

Of every \$1 million in exports in 1990, feed grains accounted for \$183,194, or 18.3 percent (table 3). Meat products, with \$122,007, had the second-largest share (12.2 percent), closely followed by other processed foods, with \$121,568 (nearly 12.2 percent). Despite falling from around a 70-percent share in both 1977 and 1982 to a 60-percent share in 1990, bulk farm products represented the major component of total agricultural exports with \$600,925 for every \$1 million in 1990.

Tables 4, 5, and 6 show estimates of land and labor used to produce U.S. agricultural exports in 1977, 1982, and 1990. These estimates are updated from a previous study [6] and confirm the relationship between factor endowments and the commodity composition of agricultural trade predicted by theory. Theory suggests that land-to-labor ratios for exports to land-scarce Japan, South Korea, and Western Europe should be relatively high, and they were around 100 harvested acres per worker in 1977 and 1982. But, these ratios fell in the 1980's, indicating that these nations imported less land-intensive agricultural products in 1990 than they did in both 1977 and 1982.

The former USSR and African countries, however, show land-to-labor ratios of more than 100 in 1977, 1982, and 1990. These countries had high land-to-labor ratios because they import relatively more food and feed grains from the United States. In contrast, this ratio for exports to land-abundant Canada remained under 35 harvested acres per worker in 1977 and was under 30 in 1990.

The United States imported nearly \$10 billion worth of competitive agricultural products in 1982 (table 7). This activity nearly doubled by 1990 to \$17.2 billion. In 1990, most of these competitive imports were from developed nations. However, the United States imported a substantial amount of vegetables, fruits, and nuts from developing countries in 1990.

Using the same calculation method as for exports, we estimated the implied factor content of imports assuming they used U.S. production technology. Given the relative abundance of land in the United States, the commodity composition of 1982 U.S. agricultural imports coincides with what is expected from factor endowment theory, with an overall land-to-labor ratio of 23.5 (table 8). Likewise, in 1990, the land-to-labor ratio for agricultural imports was 30.1 (table 8), strikingly similar to the factor intensity of U.S. agricultural exports to Canada of nearly 30 (table 6).

Factor Intensity/Commodity Composition--AER-683

Table 1--U.S. agricultural exports, by destination, 1977-90, selected years

209,526 2,732,232 4,912,549 1,534,787 975,599	439,696 6,698,159 6,487,406 1,965,018	650,049 4,044,360	39,522	1982	1990 1,000	1977	1982	1990	1977	1982	1990
2,732,232 4,912,549 1,534,787	6,698,159 6,487,406	4,044,360		/E /A/	1,000	dollars					
2,732,232 4,912,549 1,534,787	6,698,159 6,487,406	4,044,360		15 161		GOL LOIS					
2,732,232 4,912,549 1,534,787	6,698,159 6,487,406	4,044,360		45,414	98,851	21,563	53,014	85,747	46,273	197,567	85,0
4,912,549 1,534,787	6,487,406		1,182	1,026	670	41,252	72,624	51,750	210,869	552,282	115,
1,534,787		7,204,420	36,339	44,220	102,955	247,828	204,481	777,153	2,072,751	1,826,558	444.
		2,795,479	69,079	56,815	62,376	160	330	53,655	222,303	265,407	475,
975.599	•	_,,	.,	30,0.3	02,570	1,50	330	25,025	222,303	205,401	717,
	1,744,838	3,006,452	376,146	536,589	1,170,133	13,102	107,984	166,941	250,552	392,796	675.
4,791,941	6,802,370	3,862,683	149,549	116,315	122,918	115,699	270,873	222,595	2,751,069	4,137,652	1,645,
1,094,283	1,546,541	1,441,116	3,677	8,898	2,939	0	8	53	480,591	754,477	714,
1,514,582	2,138,167	4,798,127	211,343	136,651	574,093	71,991	142,203	415,853	368,739	346,728	201,
1,560,210	2,345,206										1,222,
.,,	a, ,	0,001,000	,0,2.,	.50,	333,731	0,000	21,421	130,043	472,070	001,327	1,222,
2.334.085	2,998,347	2,128,793	122,101	108,608	180.669	92.936	121 710	146 431	813 088	1 180 371	215,
											835,
.,,	_,,,_,,	.,,	0.0,727	454,400	1,133,070	27,011	70,044	334,120	305,030	340,372	, ,
569,087	930,642	1,376,976	110,389	172,155	326,986	21,333	70,788	115,842	264,217	384,659	485,
23,636,156	36,622,599	39,326,667	1,534,475	1,819,872	4,197,415	664,403	1,156,280	2,553,616	8,358,468	11,463,418	7,319,
	Japan						USSR			Africa	
1977	1982	1990	1977	1982	1990	1977	1982	1990	1977	1982	19
					1,000	dollars					
24.700	35 . 120	74 332	8 211	11 120	5 039	272	0	2 151	1 252	6 67/	6,
											615,
									•		448,
											155,
0.0,00	202, 100	200,.23	3.5,25.	425,501	400,117	433	O,	1,211	05,105	17,500	155,
123.825	247.374	470.516	128	1 468	17 734	13 340	7 680	11 051	10 706	31 121	41,
										•	8.
								•			13,
•	•	•				-		-		•	
							•				28,
0.,015	55,504	312,731	11,727	00,074	17,515	27,114	1	3,132	232,331	417,029	252,
130 002	111 800	80 570	40 11A	50 /88	5/. 5/.4	1 500	40 571	761 079	262 020	201 550	257
						•		•			257,
. 1.5,010	330,330	771,309	7,011	11,717	07,430	4,000	0,791	10,000	12,812	Y0,3/5	6 6,
28,831	66,210	130,952	3,576	10,833	41,614	9,959	1,768	4,225	15,017	19,092	17,
							•	•	•	•	•
3 856 789	5 555 013	8 057 708	010 285	1 581 360	2 6/3 504	1 034 597	1 871 27/	2 247 400	1 742 204	2 227 775	1,934,
	2,334,085 1,407,275 569,087 23,636,156 1977 24,700 374,516 1,065,621 310,069 123,825 964,673 259,953 396,908 64,615 130,002 113,076	2,334,085 2,998,347 1,407,275 2,526,209 569,087 930,642 23,636,156 36,622,599 Japan 1977 1982 24,700 35,120 374,516 563,681 1,065,621 1,515,787 310,069 502,468 123,825 247,374 964,673 1,003,987 259,953 309,920 396,908 773,927 64,615 88,304 130,002 111,899 113,076 336,336 28,831 66,210	2,334,085 2,998,347 2,128,793 1,407,275 2,526,209 4,780,877 569,087 930,642 1,376,976 23,636,156 36,622,599 39,326,667 Japan	2,334,085 2,998,347 2,128,793 122,101 1,407,275 2,526,209 4,780,877 318,929 569,087 930,642 1,376,976 110,389 23,636,156 36,622,599 39,326,667 1,534,475 1982 1990 1977 1982 1990 1977 24,700 35,120 74,332 8,211 374,516 563,681 420,230 202,224 1,065,621 1,515,787 1,984,986 158,475 310,069 502,468 580,723 315,251 123,825 247,374 470,516 128 964,673 1,003,987 844,509 44,280 259,953 309,920 303,314 21,159 396,908 773,927 2,035,745 108,125 64,615 88,304 312,937 11,929 130,002 111,899 80,570 40,116 113,076 336,336 771,569 5,811 28,831 66,210 130,952 3,576	2,334,085 2,998,347 2,128,793 122,101 108,608 1,407,275 2,526,209 4,780,877 318,929 454,466 569,087 930,642 1,376,976 110,389 172,155 23,636,156 36,622,599 39,326,667 1,534,475 1,819,872	2,334,085 2,998,347 2,128,793 122,101 108,608 180,669 1,407,275 2,526,209 4,780,877 318,929 454,466 1,135,690 569,087 930,642 1,376,976 110,389 172,155 326,986 23,636,156 36,622,599 39,326,667 1,534,475 1,819,872 4,197,415	2,334,085	2,334,085 2,998,347 2,128,793 122,101 108,608 180,669 92,936 121,710 1,407,275 2,526,209 4,780,877 318,929 454,466 1,135,690 29,871 90,844 569,087 930,642 1,376,976 110,389 172,155 326,986 21,333 70,788 23,636,156 36,622,599 39,326,667 1,534,475 1,819,872 4,197,415 664,403 1,156,280	2,334,085	2,334,085	2,334,085 2,998,347 2,128,793 122,101 108,608 180,669 92,936 121,710 146,431 813,988 1,189,371 1,407,275 2,526,209 4,780,877 318,929 454,666 1,135,690 29,871 90,844 334,720 385,038 548,392 569,087 930,642 1,376,976 110,389 172,155 326,986 21,333 70,788 115,842 264,217 384,659 23,636,156 36,622,599 39,326,667 1,534,475 1,819,872 4,197,415 664,403 1,156,280 2,553,616 8,358,468 11,463,418

Source: [9].

		Current va	lue	Share o	of agricultural	exports
Commodity	1977	1982	1990	1977	1982	1990
	<u>1.</u> (000 dollars			<u>-Percent-</u> -	
Livestock	209,526	439,696	650,049	0.9	1.2	1.7
Food grains	2,732,232	6,698,159	4,044,360	11.6	18.3	10.3
Feed grains	4,912,549	6,487,406	7,204,420	20.8	17.7	18.3
Cotton	1,534,787	1,965,018	2,795,479	6.5	5.4	7.1
Vegetables, fruits, and nuts	975,599	1,744,838	3,006,452	4.1	4.8	7.6
Oil crops	4,791,941	6,802,370	3,862,683	20.3	18.6	9.8
Tobacco	1,094,283	1,546,541	1,441,116	4.6	4.2	3.7
Meat products	1,514,582	2,138,167	4,798,127	6.4	5.8	12.2
Feeds and flours	1,560,210	2,345,206	3,237,335	6.6	6.4	8.2
Vegetable fats and oils	2,334,085	2,998,347	2,128,793	9.9	8.2	5.4
Other processed foods	1,407,275	2,526,209	4,780,877	6.0	6.9	12.2
Other agricultural products	569,087	930,642	1,376,976	2.4	2.5	3.5
Total agricultural exports	23,636,156	36,622,599	39,326,667	100.0	100.0	100.0

	Constant value			Share o	f agricultura	el exports
	1977	1982	1990	1977	1982	1990
	<u>-</u> -	1,000 dollars			- <u>-Percent-</u>	
Livestock	298,424	439,696	515,126	1.1	1.2	1.5
food grains	3,989,059	6,698,159	4,657,248	14.1	18.3	13.5
Feed grains	5,895,059	6,487,406	6,818,758	20.8	17.7	19.7
Cotton	1,412,004	1,965,018	2,331,806	5.0	5.4	6.8
Vegetables, fruits, and nuts	1,539,482	1,744,838	2,671,025	5.4	4.8	7.7
Oil crops	4,216,908	6,802,370	3,545,839	14.8	18.6	10.3
Tobacco	1,968,135	1,546,541	631,468	7.0	4.2	1.8
Meat products	2,074,770	2,138,167	3,995,894	7.3	5.8	11.6
Feeds and flours	1,850,783	2,345,206	2,617,895	6.5	6.4	7.6
Vegetable fats and oils	2,352,908	2,998,347	1.880.913	8.3	8.2	5.4
Other processed foods	2,005,833	2,526,209	3,697,152	7.1	6.9	10.7
Other agricultural products	706,997	930,642	1,178,707	2.5	2.5	3.4
Total agricultural exports	28,310,362	36,622,599	34,541,831	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: [9].

Table 3--U.S. agricultural exports, 1990: Actual value and commodity composition for \$1 million in exports

Commodity	Actual value	Composition of	Share of
Controd Cy	Actual value	\$1 million in exports	total
	1,000 dollars	<u>Dollars</u>	Percent
Livestock	650,049	16,529	1.7
Food grains	4,044,360	102,840	10.3
Feed grains	7,204,420	183, 194	18.3
Cotton	2,795,479	71,084	7.1
/egetables, fruits, and nuts	3,006,452	76,448	7.6
Dil crops	3,862,683	98,220	9.8
obacco	1,441,116	36,645	3.7
Other crops	627,825	15,964	1.6
Bulk farm products	23,632,384	600,925	60.1
leat products	4,798,127	122,007	12.2
eeds and flours	3,237,335	82,319	8.2
egetable fats and oils	2,128,793	54,131	5.4
rocessed farm products	10,164,255	258,457	25.8
otal agriculture	33,796,639	859,382	85.9
ther processed foods	4,780,877	121,568	12.2
Other agricultural products	749, 151	19,049	1.9
otal agricultural exports	39,326,667	1,000,000	100.0

Source: [9].

Table 4--Factors used to produce U.S. agricultural exports, by destination, 1977

	Wo	rld	Car	nada	Mex	ico	Wester	n Europe
Commodity		Harvested		Harvested		Harvested		Harvested
	Workers	acres	Workers	acres	Workers	acres	Workers	acres
				Nur	mber			
Livestock	13,497	541,215	2,594	81,314	1,046	44,166	3,258	103,547
Food grains	126, 191	28,947,020	40	13,370	1,409	466,622	3,258	2,385,247
Feed grains	197,727	30,242,048	855	187,630	5,829	1,279,613	48,756	10,702,256
Cotton	67,195	4,362,965	2,163	197,997	5	459	6,960	637,172
Vegetables, fruits, and nuts	64,316	787,582	15,219	234,519	534	8,760	11,414	205,139
Oil crops	122,722	22,504,891	2,319	604,926	1,794	468,003	42,655	11,128,083
Tobacco	39,438	127,054	159	453	· 0	. 0	20,765	59,272
Meat products	78,772	2,943,985	21,788	728,179	7,422	248,044	38,015	1,270,484
Feeds and flours	58,065	4,287,406	4,259	363,283	342	26,909	21,291	1,790,029
Vegetable fats and oils	79,114	5,779,622	4,332	318,690	3,298	242,568	28,882	2,124,552
Other processed foods	59,831	806,824	22,830	284,784	2,150	42,464	27,844	348,543
Other agricultural products	25,490	250,929	14,397	33,386	4,907	18,235	54,873	69,609
Total agricultural export	932,358	101,581,541	90,956	3,048,530	28,734	2,845,842	311,915	30,823,932
Land-to-labor ratio	109.0	NA	33.5	NA	99.0	NA	98.8	NA
		lapan	Sout	Korea		ISSR	A	frica
		Harvested		Harvested		Harvested		Harvested
	Workers	acres	Workers	acres	Workers	acres	Workers	acres
				<u>Nu</u>	mber			
Livestock	1,562	52,444	338	17,079	11	564	60	2,239
Food grains	12,791	4,236,341	6,907	2,287,459	14,614	4,840,161	13,356	4,483,181
Feed grains	25,066	5,502,131	3,728	818,256	9,118	2,001,516	2,791	612,689
Cotton	9,708	888,730	9,870	903,583	14	1,298	2,038	186,606
Vegetables, fruits, and nuts	6,153	80,655	6	88	678	12,449	584	13,683
Oil crops	14,957	3,902,105	687	179,113	2,466	643,281	564	147,072
Tobacco	11,232	32,060	914	2,610	0	0	4,164	11,886
Meat products	40,919	1,367,540	11,147	372,543	785	26,224	2,281	76,249
Feeds and flours	2,229	156,395	553	48,506	1,128	99,452	11,776	1,036,368
Vegetable fats and oils	4,613	339,312	1,423	104,705	57	4,173	9,326	685,998
Other processed foods	8,418	107,655	385	5,125	253	3,597	5,727	123,020
Other agricultural products	25,529	23,005	6,508	3,172	8,652	14, 184	8,526	9,349
Total agricultural export	163,177	16,688,373	42,466	4,742,237	37,776	7,646,900	61,374	7,388,340
Land-to-labor ratio	101.8	NA	111.7	NA	202.4	NA	120.4	NA

NA · Not applicable. Sources: [11, 14]

Table 5--Factors used to produce U.S. agricultural exports, by destination, 1982

	Wo	rld	Can	ada	Mex	ico	Wester	n Europe
Commodity		Harvested		Harvested		Harvested		Harvested
	Workers	acres	Workers	acres	Workers	acres	Workers	acres
				<u>Nur</u>	<u>nber</u>			
ivestock	29,420	870,036	2,268	72,259	3,065	107,175	16,278	476,217
ood grains	123,274	37,881,743	19	5,803	1,337	410,728	10,164	3,123,456
eed grains	103,335	20,376,689	704	138,893	3,257	642,267	29,094	5,737,147
otton	55,089	4,822,833	1,593	139,444	9	810	7,441	651,400
	61,984	966,624	17,489	256,988	2,605	58,532	15,363	292,691
egetables, fruits, and nuts		34,141,529	2,119	583,792	4,934	1,359,529	75,364	20,767,139
il crops	123,899		159	500	0	0	13,471	42,430
obacco	27,614	86,974		171,668	4,700	178,642	11,460	435,577
leat products	70,670	2,686,071	4,517		485	33,087	18,448	1,341,243
eeds and flours	50,077	3,625,593	3,078	214,328		294,841	29,015	2,881,241
egetable fats and oils	73,144	7,263,471	2,649	263,102	2,969	•		174,113
other processed foods	61,537	1,006,125	10,726	121,842	2,481	73,731	13,271	
Other agricultural products	239,138	451,114	15,453	41,999	8,557	47,337	68,018	142,833
otal agricultural export	1,019,180	114,178,802	61,133	2,010,618	34,398	3,206,681	307,386	36,065,488
and-to-labor ratio	112.0	NA	32.9	NA	93.2	NA	117.3	NA
		Japan	South	Korea		JSSR	A	frica
		Harvested		Harvested		Harvested		Harvested
	<u>Workers</u>	acres	Workers	acres	Workers	acres	Workers	acres
				Num	<u>ber</u>			
Livestock	1,971	57,046	430	22,955	0	0	412	12,858
Food grains	10,374	3,187,924	5,524	1,697,529	14,763	4,536,777	16,141	4,960,162
Feed grains	24,144	4,761,028	6,032	1,189,532	13,294	2,621,528	4,359	859,612
Cotton	14,087	1,233,230	11,929	1,044,341	2	164	493	43,162
50000	9,952	117,231	53	1,009	380	8,153	782	17,765
Venetables fruits and nuts		,	2 501	713,987	3,119	859,590	746	205,561
_ 		5 039 075	2.391	113.701			4 3 3 3 3	4,324
Vegetables, fruits, and nuts Dil crops	18,287	5,039,075 17 429	2,591 75			71	1,3/3	7,367
Dil crops Tobacco	18,287 5,534	17,429	75	235	23		1,373 1,222	
Dil crops Tobacco Meat products	18,287 5,534 25,580	17,429 972,245	75 5 ,63 8	235 214,285	23 167	71 6,358 2		46,445 742,171
Dil crops Tobacco Meat products Feeds and flours	18,287 5,534 25,580 2,340	17,429 972,245 136,047	75 5,638 1,404	235 214,285 105,291	23 167 0	6,358 2	1,222 9,882	46,445 742,171
Dil crops Tobacco Meat products Feeds and flours Vegetable fats and oils	18,287 5,534 25,580 2,340 2,730	17,429 972,245 136,047 271,074	75 5,638 1,404 1,232	235 214,285 105,291 122,307	23 167 0 990	6,358 2 98,283	1,222 9,882 6,869	46,445 742,171 682,074
Oil crops Tobacco Meat products Feeds and flours Vegetable fats and oils Other processed foods	18,287 5,534 25,580 2,340	17,429 972,245 136,047	75 5,638 1,404	235 214,285 105,291	23 167 0	6,358 2	1,222 9,882	46,445 742,171
Oil crops Tobacco Meat products Feeds and flours Vegetable fats and oils	18,287 5,534 25,580 2,340 2,730 8,210	17,429 972,245 136,047 271,074 104,152	75 5,638 1,404 1,232 439	235 214,285 105,291 122,307 5,400	23 167 0 990 149	6,358 2 98,283 1,530	1,222 9,882 6,869 2,460	46,445 742,171 682,074 66,751

NA - Not applicable. Sources: [11, 14].

Table 6--Factors used to produce U.S. agricultural exports, by destination, 1990

Commodity

World

Harvested

						nai ves teo		Harvested
	Workers	acres	Workers	acres	Workers	acres	Workers	acres
				Nur	<u>mber</u>			
Livestock	37,997	1,106,777	6,280	175,303	3,635	170,987	4,547	175,666
Food grains	88,352	27, 150, 242	· 12	3,789	952	292,674	2,134	655,630
feed grains	111,957	22,076,878	1,640	323,378	12,379	2,441,007	7,076	1,395,306
Cotton	67,384	5,899,266	1,749	153,092	1,504	131,688	13,323	1,166,361
Vegetables, fruits, and nuts	101,477	1,604,831	38,850	562,657	4,683	92,412	28,333	8,261,108
Oil crops	66,573	18,344,739	2,239	616,933	4,054	1,117,219	29,979	8,261,108
Tobacco	11,622	36,606	52	165	1	3	12,763	40,201
Meat products	136,138	5,174,395	18,975	721,204	13,745	522,415	6,655	252,961
Feeds and flours	66,008	4,163,128	8,115	549,765	2,792	201,030	26,419	1,889,456
Vegetable fats and oils	47,297	4,696,786	4,407	437,669	3,572	354,728	5,258	522,156
Other processed foods	90,565	1,152,063	25,902	289,355	8,051	134,557	19,411	211,823
Other agricultural products	193,881	516,850	32,573	76,384	18,518	67,025	43,346	187,559
Total agricultural exports	1,019,252	91,922,561	140,795	3,909,694	73,886	5,525,745	199,245	15,296,882
Land-to-labor ratio	90.2	NA	27.8	NA	74.8	NA	76.8	NA
		apan	Souti	Norea		JSSR	A1	rica
		Harvested		Harvested	<u> </u>	Harvested		Harvested
	<u>Workers</u>	acres	Workers	acres	Workers	acres	Workers	acres
				Num	<u>nber</u>			
Livestock	5,788	166,483	250			7.116	365	10 834
	5,788 7,734	166,483 2,376,630	250 3.980	6,721	 556	7,116 3,068,399	365 11 334	10,834 3 482 973
Food grains		2,376,630	3,980	6,721 1,223,068	556 9,985	3,068,399	11,334	3,482,973
Food grains Feed grains	7,734	2,376,630 6,234,764	3,980 9,616	6,721 1,223,068 1,896,170	556 9,985 17,536	3,068,399 3,457,969	11,334 7,148	3,482,973 1,409,443
Food grains Feed grains Cotton	7,734 31,618	2,376,630 6,234,764 1,425,295	3,980	6,721 1,223,068 1,896,170 1,179,998	556 9,985 17,536	3,068,399 3,457,969 0	11,334 7,148 4,372	3,482,973 1,409,443 382,731
Food grains Feed grains Cotton Vegetables, fruits, and nuts	7,734 31,618 16,280 18,936	2,376,630 6,234,764 1,425,295 238,852	3,980 9,616 13,478 784	6,721 1,223,068 1,896,170 1,179,998 12,857	556 9,985 17,536 0 577	3,068,399 3,457,969 0 12,360	11,334 7,148 4,372 1,025	3,482,973 1,409,443 382,731 24,043
Food grains Feed grains Cotton Vegetables, fruits, and nuts Dil crops	7,734 31,618 16,280	2,376,630 6,234,764 1,425,295 238,852 4,238,645	3,980 9,616 13,478	6,721 1,223,068 1,896,170 1,179,998 12,857 984,871	556 9,985 17,536	3,068,399 3,457,969 0	11,334 7,148 4,372 1,025 162	3,482,973 1,409,443 382,731 24,043 44,715
Food grains Feed grains Cotton Vegetables, fruits, and nuts Dil crops Tobacco	7,734 31,618 16,280 18,936 15,382 5,416	2,376,630 6,234,764 1,425,295 238,852 4,238,645 17,058	3,980 9,616 13,478 784 3,574 244	6,721 1,223,068 1,896,170 1,179,998 12,857 984,871 770	556 9,985 17,536 0 577 1,112	3,068,399 3,457,969 0 12,360 306,544 0	11,334 7,148 4,372 1,025 162 235	3,482,973 1,409,443 382,731 24,043 44,715 740
Food grains Feed grains Cotton Vegetables, fruits, and nuts Dil crops Tobacco Meat products	7,734 31,618 16,280 18,936 15,382 5,416 67,285	2,376,630 6,234,764 1,425,295 238,852 4,238,645 17,058 2,557,403	3,980 9,616 13,478 784 3,574 244 29,891	6,721 1,223,068 1,896,170 1,179,998 12,857 984,871 770 1,136,115	556 9,985 17,536 0 577 1,112 0 3,264	3,068,399 3,457,969 0 12,360 306,544 0 124,068	11,334 7,148 4,372 1,025 162 235 951	3,482,973 1,409,443 382,731 24,043 44,715 740 36,156
Food grains Feed grains Cotton Vegetables, fruits, and nuts Dil crops Tobacco Meat products Feeds and flours	7,734 31,618 16,280 18,936 15,382 5,416 67,285 7,974	2,376,630 6,234,764 1,425,295 238,852 4,238,645 17,058 2,557,403 482,458	3,980 9,616 13,478 784 3,574 244 29,891 420	6,721 1,223,068 1,896,170 1,179,998 12,857 984,871 770 1,136,115 29,947	556 9,985 17,536 0 577 1,112 0 3,264 77	3,068,399 3,457,969 0 12,360 306,544 0 124,068 5,771	11,334 7,148 4,372 1,025 162 235 951 5,209	3,482,973 1,409,443 382,731 24,043 44,715 740 36,156 391,160
Food grains Feed grains Cotton Vegetables, fruits, and nuts Tobacco Meat products Feeds and flours Vegetable fats and oils	7,734 31,618 16,280 18,936 15,382 5,416 67,285 7,974 1,965	2,376,630 6,234,764 1,425,295 238,852 4,238,645 17,058 2,557,403 482,458 195,180	3,980 9,616 13,478 784 3,574 244 29,891 420 1,331	6,721 1,223,068 1,896,170 1,179,998 12,857 984,871 770 1,136,115 29,947 132,137	556 9,985 17,536 0 577 1,112 0 3,264 77 8,861	3,068,399 3,457,969 0 12,360 306,544 0 124,068 5,771 879,885	11,334 7,148 4,372 1,025 162 235 951 5,209 6,293	3,482,973 1,409,443 382,731 24,043 44,715 740 36,156 391,160 624,879
Food grains Feed grains Cotton Vegetables, fruits, and nuts Oil crops Tobacco Meat products Feeds and flours Vegetable fats and oils Other processed foods	7,734 31,618 16,280 18,936 15,382 5,416 67,285 7,974	2,376,630 6,234,764 1,425,295 238,852 4,238,645 17,058 2,557,403 482,458	3,980 9,616 13,478 784 3,574 244 29,891 420	6,721 1,223,068 1,896,170 1,179,998 12,857 984,871 770 1,136,115 29,947	556 9,985 17,536 0 577 1,112 0 3,264 77	3,068,399 3,457,969 0 12,360 306,544 0 124,068 5,771	11,334 7,148 4,372 1,025 162 235 951 5,209	3,482,973 1,409,443 382,731 24,043 44,715 740 36,156 391,160
Livestock Food grains Feed grains Cotton Vegetables, fruits, and nuts Oil crops Tobacco Meat products Feeds and flours Vegetable fats and oils Other processed foods Other agricultural products	7,734 31,618 16,280 18,936 15,382 5,416 67,285 7,974 1,965 18,044	2,376,630 6,234,764 1,425,295 238,852 4,238,645 17,058 2,557,403 482,458 195,180 206,405	3,980 9,616 13,478 784 3,574 244 29,891 420 1,331 2,081	6,721 1,223,068 1,896,170 1,179,998 12,857 984,871 770 1,136,115 29,947 132,137 24,440	556 9,985 17,536 0 577 1,112 0 3,264 77 8,861 2,376	3,068,399 3,457,969 0 12,360 306,544 0 124,068 5,771 879,885 84,085	11,334 7,148 4,372 1,025 162 235 951 5,209 6,293 1,634	3,482,973 1,409,443 382,731 24,043 44,715 740 36,156 391,160 624,879 39,487

Canada

Harvested

Mexico

Harvested

Western Europe

Harvested

NA - Not applicable. Sources: [<u>11</u>, <u>14</u>]

Table 7--U.S. agricultural competitive imports, by type of economy, 1982 and 1990

	Wo	orld	Deve	loping	Devel	oped	Centrally	planned
Commodity	1982	1990	1982	1990	1982	1990	1982	1990
				1,000	dollars			
Livestock	550,526	1,200,538	143,983	437,591	397,957	756,659	8,584	6,288
Food grains	7,057	84,230	225	259	6,807	83,964	26	7
Feed grains	95,631	136,080	14,212	23,161	79,049	110,033	2,368	2,886
Cotton	13,140	519	12,929	411	13	104	199	4
Vegetables, fruits,	,							
and nuts	962,799	2,205,419	816,990	1,847,988	142,071	342,407	3,738	15,024
Oil crops	61,496	151,705	33,318	59,744	27,174	84,600	1,004	7,361
Tobacco	503,737	1,165,728	370,119	896,839	98,455	186,322	35,163	82,567
Meat products	2,228,585	3,279,913	361,093	422,969	1,705,601	2,661,161	161,890	195,783
Feeds and flours	143,391	321,529	23,846	62,960	117,098	251,364	2,446	7,205
Vegetable fats and oils		•	312,387	351,029	81,505	415,160	1,598	1,987
Other processed foods	4,429,219	•	1,733,524	2,876,402	2,620,466	4,086,158	75,232	172,203
Other agricultural products	589,412		188,855	145,530	363,474	593,249	37,081	37,700
Total agricultural imports	9,980,484	17,225,079	4,011,481	7,124,883	5,639,671	9,571,181	329,329	529,015

Source: [9].

Table 8--Factors used to produce U.S. competitive agricultural imports,

	Wo	rkers	Harvested acreage		
Commodity	1982	1990	1982	1990	
		<u>Nu</u>	umber		
Livestock	29,664	36,177	1,281,740	2,098,952	
Food grains	254	1,664	78,047	485,174	
Feed grains	931	1,935	183,432	387,377	
Cotton	370	13	32,397	1,017	
Vegetables, fruits, and nuts	22,341	58,049	392,175	1,049,907	
Dil crops	444	2,395	122,465	657,479	
Tobacco	6,106	8,164	19,233	29,055	
Meat products	73,355	82,780	2,787,994	3,289,06	
Feeds and flours	3,290	5,434	218,947	370,30	
Vegetable fats and oils	7,087	15,022	703,976	1,546,239	
Other processed foods	107,808	117,801	1,486,096	1,985,12	
Other agricultural products	64,964	73,753	155,637	216,54	
Total agricultural imports	316,614	403,185	7,462,141	12,116,23	
Land-to-labor ratio	23.5	30.1	n/a	n/a	

n/a = not available Sources: [11, 14]

Income Elasticities and Agricultural Exports

Patterns of agricultural trade also reflect the different income levels of purchasing nations. Exports to Africa clearly display the tendency of developing nations to devote a large share of their food budget to food grains. Food grain imports by developing countries accounted for nearly 30 percent of total agricultural imports from the United States in 1977 and nearly 40 percent in 1982 and 1990.

Nations with income levels comparable to the United States' income levels tend to import large shares of fruits, vegetables, and meat products. Canada is a notable example; for the 3 years studied, about 40 percent of Canada's total imports from the United States consisted of these products. For high-income countries with small land endowments, feed grains and oil crops, used to support domestic livestock production, are also likely to make up large shares of their agricultural imports. These crops combined to represent 58 percent in 1977 and 52 percent in 1982 of U.S. agricultural exports to Western Europe.

These crops represented 53 percent in 1977 and 45 percent in 1982 of total agricultural exports to Japan. However, by 1990, these shares decreased to 12 percent for Western Europe and to 31 percent for Japan. The falling shares, particularly for soybeans, underscore some of the trade tensions existing between the United States and the EC.

We previously noted the tendency of low-income nations to spend a large share of income on food grains, the most land-intensive commodity group. Exports to Africa, for example, are the most land-intensive of the regions presented. However, deteriorating per capita incomes in African nations during the 1980's caused their agricultural imports to fall between 1982 and 1990. As income grows, imports of products that are less land-intensive, such as fruits and vegetables, meat products, and other processed foods, increase. Japan, for example, decreased its demand for oil crops substantially from 1982 to 1990, while increasing its demand for meat products, reflecting again that as income increased from 1982 to 1990, the demand for meat products increased.

The experience of South Korea demonstrates the effects of income elasticities on demand when a nation's income rises. As a share of South Korea's agricultural imports from the United States, food grains fell from nearly 22 percent in 1977 to only 8 percent in 1990. The meat products share increased, however, from nearly 12 percent in 1977 to 34 percent in 1990.

Intra-Industry Agricultural Trade

Table 9 shows intra-industry trade measures between the United States and selected countries during 1982 and 1990. The table supports at least three aspects of agricultural trade between the United States and other nations. First, with the exception of Africa, intra-industry trade has increased over time, as reflected in the Grubel-Lloyd measures, which are estimates used to gauge the level of intra-industry trade in a given industry.

Second, U.S. intra-industry trade tends to be higher with high-income countries, such as Canada and Western Europe. Third, for 1982 and 1990, intra-agricultural trade was higher for high-valued agricultural products, such as meat products, fruits and vegetables, and other processed foods, than for bulk farm products. Products that are among the least land-intensive, such as vegetables, fruits, and nuts, figure prominently in agricultural exports to Canada.

For example, exports of these crops to Canada, the most land-abundant of the regions considered, were more than 20 percent of total agricultural exports to Canada. Thus, intra-industry trade is positively correlated with income.

Policy Implications

It is important to note that U.S. agricultural exports to developing nations, particularly countries in Africa and Korea in an earlier period (1977), were primarily farm products. On the other hand, U.S. imports from developing nations (more labor-abundant nations) were mainly vegetables, fruits, and nuts as well as processed foods. Thus, the factor endowment theory of comparative advantage seems to be the predominant influence on U.S. agricultural trade with these countries.

More than 50 percent of the value of U.S. agricultural exports went to developed countries--Canada, Western Europe, and Japan. There are two implications of this phenomenon. First, exports to these countries are mostly high-valued agricultural products, indicating that the income effects of the demand for U.S. agricultural products outweigh exports based on differing factor endowments. Second, U.S. agricultural trade with these countries consists of products that are very close substitutes for each other in terms of factor inputs and consumption. Thus, a considerable part of U.S. agricultural trade with these countries is intraindustry trade, supporting Markusen's hypothesis: the higher the income, the greater the likelihood of intraindustry trade.

As national income grew, imports of high-valued products increased, while imports of bulk farm products decreased. This phenomenon was especially apparent for Japan (in 1982 and 1990, compared with 1977) and Korea (in 1990, compared with 1977 and 1982). The most notable exception to the pattern of endowment-based trade is the case of the former USSR. The former USSR also has a large land endowment like the United States, but nearly all of the USSR's agricultural commodity imports from the United States are land-intensive commodities, such as food grains, feed grains, or oil crops. These USSR imports from the United States collectively represent 94 percent of total USSR trade from the United States in 1977 and 97 percent in 1982. These USSR imports reflect that nation's poor harvests, chronic supply shortfalls, improper or absent price signals, and political and economic policies that strongly distort the pattern of trade.

Table 9--Grubel-Lloyd measures 1/ of intra-industry agricultural trade between the United States and the world 2/, 1982 and 1990

Country	1982	1990	
World	.43	.61	
Canada	.49	.63	
Mexico	.45	.53	
Western Europe	.66	.89	
South Korea	.54	.54	
USSR	.30	.40	
Africa	.72	.43	

1/ Grubel-Lloyd measures are calculated as follows:

$$1 - \Sigma_j \stackrel{\downarrow}{\underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} X_j - M_j \\ X_j + M_j \end{array}}}$$

where X_j represents exports in industry j and M_j represents imports in industry j. The coefficients measure relative importance of trade. If exports and imports are equal, the ratio approaches one. When either of the trade components are zero, the measure approaches zero.

2/ These estimates are not exact because <u>Foreign Agricultural Trade of the United States</u> (FATUS), a USDA/ERS periodical and our source of trade data, classifies U.S. imports by type of economy as opposed to by individual countries. Therefore, the figures we used for imports were either totals for developed, centrally planned, or developing economies.

Source: [9].

The overall factor intensity ratio (acres of land per farmworker) of U.S. agricultural exports to the world diminished over time, from 109 in 1977 and 112 in 1982 to 90 in 1990. For Japan, the ratio fell to 74 in 1990 from 102 in 1977; for Korea it was 81 in 1990, down from 112 in 1977.

The growing influence of income levels on trade has an important policy implication: The United States should consider supporting policies that promote the economic growth of some nations importing U.S. agricultural products because of income's considerable effect on trade growth.

Conclusions

This study reveals several implications both for generic support in theoretical explanations of the nature of U.S. agricultural trade and for the economic foundations of U.S. agricultural trade. First, our basic results are consistent with the factor endowment theory of international trade. U.S. agricultural exports are more land-intensive than U.S. agricultural imports. A large proportion of our agricultural trade follows the patterns expected from trade theory and relative-factor endowments. Land-scarce customers, such as Japan, South Korea, and Western Europe, import primarily land-intensive goods, such as feed grains and oil crops (this is changing rapidly as their income

grows and domestic policy distorts international trade). Land-abundant Canada, however, imports goods such as fruits, vegetables, and processed foods that are not land-intensive. Thus, this empirical study supports the view that U.S. agriculture has a comparative advantage in producing and trading land-intensive goods rather than labor-intensive commodities.

Second, the land intensity of agricultural exports to Canada, which has land endowments and incomes comparable to those of the United States, was similar to that of U.S. agricultural imports, suggesting that nations with similar resource endowments and/or similar incomes have a high proportion of intraindustry trade.

Third, we noted that the importance of food grains falls as customer incomes rise, while fruits, vegetables, meat products, and other processed foods become more important. This implies that income effects on demand for agricultural products may outweigh forces inherent in the factor endowment trade theory.

With continuing discussion about trade-distorting practices by participants in agricultural trade, sparked by the Uruguay Round of the GATT negotiations, this paper suggests that the land intensity of U.S. agricultural exports, together with its abundant farmland,

provides a logical base for the United States firm stance on free-market competition in world agricultural trade.

References

- 1. Balassa, Bela, and Luc Bauwens, <u>Changing Trade</u>
 Patterns in <u>Manufactured Goods: An Econometric</u>
 Investigation, New York, North-Holland, 1988.
- 2. Feenstra, R.C. (ed.), <u>Empirical Methods for International Trade</u>, Cambridge, MA, MIT Press, 1988.
- Grubel, H.G., and P.J. Lloyd, <u>Intra-Industry Trade</u>: <u>The Theory and Measurement of International</u> <u>Trade in Differentiated Products</u>, London, Macmillan, 1975.
- 4. Hart, Tracy, and Bradley J. McDonald, <u>Intra-Industry Trade Indexes for Canada</u>, <u>Mexico</u>, and the <u>United States</u>, <u>1962-87</u>, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Staff Report No. AGES 9206, Feb. 1992.
- 5. Krugman, P., "Scale Economics, Product Differentiation and the Pattern of Trade," <u>American Economic Review</u>, Vol. 70, pp. 950-59, Dec. 1980.
- 6. Lee, Chinkook, Darryl Wills, and Gerald Schluter, "Examining the Leontief Paradox in U.S. Agricultural Trade," <u>Agricultural Economics</u>, Vol. 2, pp. 259-72, 1988.
- 7. Markusen, James R., "Explaining the Volume of Trade: An Eclectic Approach," <u>American Economic Review</u>, Vol. 76, pp. 1002-11, Dec. 1986.
- McCorriston, Steve, and Ian M. Sheldon, "Intra-Industry Trade and Specialization in Processed Agricultural Products: The Case of The U.S. and The EC," Review of Agricultural Economics, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 173-94, July 1991.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Foreign Agricultural
 <u>Trade of the United States</u> (FATUS), 1978, 1983, and 1991 Annual Issues.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, <u>World Agricultural Trends and Indicators 1970-88</u>, SB-781, June 1989.

- 11. United States Department of Agriculture, National Agricultural Statistics Service, Agricultural Statistics, Annual Issues.
- 12. United States Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, <u>The Detailed Input-Output Structure of the U.S. Economy</u>, 1977, Washington, D.C., 1985.
- 13. ______, Benchmark Input-Output Accounts for the U.S. Economy, 1982, Survey of Current Business, No. 7, July 1991.
- 14. United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Office of Economic Growth. Unpublished tapes. Washington, DC, 1985 and 1991.
- Wills, Darryl S., and Chinkook Lee, <u>Factor Intensity of U.S. Agricultural Trade</u>, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, AER-637, Washington, DC, Aug. 1990.

Appendix I: Estimation Procedures

First, we used the 1977 and 1982 U.S. Input-Output (I/O) tables of the U.S. Economy to create a model for factor intensity analysis. This model's usefulness lies in its ability to account for the production of goods and services generated directly and indirectly to meet the final demands of buyers. For example, to produce wheat for export requires the production of fertilizers, pesticides, and fuels. An I/O model facilitates estimating the supporting production required from each industry to produce the agricultural exports in a given year. Using information on harvested acres of land and farm labor used for agricultural production in each industry, one can derive estimates of the factor use required to produce those exports.

To estimate factor intensities, the computational procedure is as follows:

$$R = F[I - A]^{-1}X$$

where:

R is a 2-by-47 matrix of labor and harvested acres required economywide to produce agricultural exports;

¹ Although the years 1977 and 1982 may make the study sound outdated, the benchmark U.S. Input-Output Accounts of the U.S. Economy for 1977 and 1982 have been published in the 1985 and 1991 issues of Survey of Current Business [12, 13].

F is a 2-by-47 matrix of labor and harvested acres per unit of output for each industry;

[I - A]⁻¹ is the Leontief inverse matrix of direct and indirect output requirements; and,

X is a 47-by-47 diagonal matrix of agricultural exports.

Second, using the Grubel-Lloyd [3] formula, we estimate intra-industry agricultural trade:

$$T_i = 1 - \{ (|X_i - M_i|) / X_j + M_j \}$$

where:

 T_j is the Grubel-Lloyd measure of intra-industry trade for industry J;

 X_i is the nation's exports in industry J;

and M_i is the country's imports in industry J.

If $T_j = 1$, intra-industry trade reaches its maximum (because exports and imports are equal when $T_j = 1$), and minimum when $T_j = zero$.

Appendix II: Sectoral Breakdown

The Bureau of Economic Analysis issues trade data categorized in 537 commodity sectors. For our analysis, we aggregate the more detailed trade data into 47 sectors. This sectoral breakdown is as follows:

- 1. Dairy Farm Products
- 2. Poultry and Eggs
- 3. Meat Animals
- 4. Miscellaneous Livestock
- 5. Cotton
- 6. Food Grains
- 7. Feed Grains
- 8. Grass Seeds
- 9. Tobacco
- 10. Fruits
- 11. Tree Nuts
- 12. Vegetables
- 13. Sugar Crops
- 14. Miscellaneous Crops
- 15. Oil-Bearing Crops
- 16. Forest, Greenhouse, and Nursery Products
- 17. Meat Products
- 18. Dairy Plants
- 19. Canning, Freezing, and Dehydrating (excluding fish)

- 20. Feed and Flour Milling
- 21. Prepared Feeds (not elsewhere classified)
- 22. Sugar
- 23. Fats and Oil Mills
- 24. Confectionery, Bakery Products, and Macaroni
- 25. Beverages and Flavorings
- 26. Miscellaneous Food Processing
- 27. Fertilizers
- 28. Agricultural Chemicals
- 29. Petroleum Refining and Related Products
- 30. Tobacco Manufacturing
- 31. Textiles, Apparel, and Fabrics
- 32. Leather and Leather Products
- 33. Crude Petroleum and Natural Gas
- 34. Coal Mining
- 35. Forestry, Fishing, and Other Mining
- 36. Other Manufacturing
- 37. Transportation and Warehousing
- 38. Wholesale and Retail Trade
- 39. Eating and Drinking Places
- 40. Other Non-Commodities
- 41. Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishery Services
- 42. Electric Services
- 43. Gas Production and Distribution
- 44. Real Estate
- 45. Special Industries
- 46. Noncomparable Imports
- 47. Scrap

Appendix III: Data Sources

Agricultural trade data are taken from published Department of Agriculture (USDA) trade statistics [9, 10, 11]. The USDA defines agricultural commodities as nonmarine food products and farm products that have not gone through complex manufacturing processes. This definition includes commodities, such as raw hides and skins, fats and oils, beer, and wine in addition to the raw commodities usually thought of as agricultural, such as fruits, grains, and natural fibers. This definition, however, does not include manufactured products, such as textiles, forestry products, cigarettes, and distilled alcoholic beverages. The export data include domestic and foreign commodities that were modified in the United States.

Labor coefficients for each industry measure the number of workers per million dollars of output in 1977 and 1982. We derived the coefficients using employment data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, United States Department of Labor [14]. To incorporate changes in labor productivity from 1982 to 1990, indexes of output per worker in each industry are used to adjust the estimates of required labor use.

Employment estimates include wage and salary workers as well as unpaid family workers, an employment category important in farming.

Similarly, we developed land coefficients that measure harvested acres per unit of crop output in 1977

and 1982 using acreage data from Agricultural Statistics [11]. Yield data from USDA [11] were used to adjust estimates of required acreage to meet exports for 1990 to account for differences in crop yields since the base year 1982.

It's Easy To Order Another Copy!

Just dial 1-800-999-6779. Toll free in the United States and Canada. Other areas, call 1-703-834-0125.

Ask for Factor Intensity and the Changing Commodity Composition of U.S. Agricultural Trade (AER-683).

The cost is \$9.00 per copy. Add 25 percent for shipping to foreign addresses (including Canada). Charge your purchase to your Visa or MasterCard. Or send a check (made payable to ERS-NASS) to:

ERS-NASS 341 Victory Drive Herndon, VA 22070

We'll fill your order by first-class mail.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in its programs on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, and marital or familial status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact the USDA Office of Communications at (202) 720-5881 (voice) or (202) 720-7808 (TDD).

To file a complaint, write the Secretary of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250, or call (202) 720-7327 (voice) or (202) 720-1127 (TDD). USDA is an equal employment opportunity employer.

U.S. Department of Agriculture 1301 New York Avenue, NW. Washington, DC 20005-4788